Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/721,391	VILE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1636
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>After-Final</u>		
(1) J. Patrick Finn III, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Quang Nguyen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 September 2004	Time: Morning	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Phor art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner contacted attorney J. Patrick Finn III, Ph.D. on 9/1/04 to propose amendments as they appear in Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in condition for allowance. Attorney Finn accepted the proposed amendments.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)